

1 HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2
3
4
5
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT TACOMA

10 ROSALIND SMITH,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 WELLS FARGO BANK. N.A., et al.,

14 Defendants.

15 No. CV11-5533RBL

16 ORDER
17 [Dkt. #s 28 & 29]

18 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Replies [Dkt. #s 28 & 29] to Motions to
19 Dismiss filed by Wells Fargo [Dkt. #16] and Eagle Home Mortgage [Dkt. #17]. The Replies are
20 untimely under the Rules, and the Court has already GRANTED the Defendants' Motions to
21 Dismiss. [See Orders at Dkt. #s 26 & 27].

22 Nevertheless, the Plaintiff is pro se. The Court has reviewed her Replies, and has
23 considered them as Motions for Reconsideration of the Court's Orders Dismissing Plaintiff's
24 claims.

25 Under Local Rule 7, Motions for Reconsideration are disfavored, and will ordinarily be
26 denied absent a showing of manifest error, or a new factual or legal basis which could not have
been raised earlier. Local Rule 7(h). This standard has not been met in this case. Plaintiff's
replies do not undermine the arguments made by the moving parties, and Plaintiff has not and
cannot state a claim against them.

1 The Court will not Reconsider its prior rulings, and this case is DISMISSED with
2 prejudice.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated this 26th day of October, 2011.

5
6 
7
8 RONALD B. LEIGHTON

9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28